Before the

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSEDS TIVED Washington, D.C. 20554

In the Matter of	1	JAN 2 2 1996
	j	FCC MAIL ROOM
Advanced Television Systems	)	- 1:50/
and Their Impact upon the	)	MM Docket No. 87-268
Existing Television Broadcast	)	
Service	)	

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

REPLY COMMENTS OF RIDGECREST COMMUNITY TELEVISION, INC. IN THE FOURTH FURTHER NOTICE OF PROPOSED RULEMAKING AND THIRD NOTICE OF INQUIRY

## To The Commission:

Ridgecrest Community Television, Inc. submits these reply comments in response to the Fourth Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking/ Third Notice of Inquiry\_, FCC 95-315, in the above-captioned proceeding, released August 9, 1995. The Commission seeks comments on under what terms and conditions free over-the-air broadcasting should make a transition from analog to digital technology. Ridgecrest Community Television, Inc. urges the Commission to regulate the transition to advanced broadcast television technology ("ATV") in the public interest.

Ridgecrest Community Television, Inc. is a public benefit, nonprofit corporation formed in June 1996 to enhance the quality of life for the citizens of our community by providing an access center for the production of local television programming.

My PEG access center transmits this programming on our local cable system, pursuant to the franchise agreement between Benchmark Cablevision and The City of Ridgecrest, and the federal 1984 Cable Act. Our volunteer producers are associated with a wide range of religious, community, educational, charitable, and other non-commercial, non-profit institutions. These organizations use our PEG access center and the facilities we provide to speak to their memberships and their larger communities and participate in an ever-growing "electronic"

Mouré Comies rec'd A

town hall." A list of organizations that regularly use our facility is attached as Exhibit A. We believe that the tremendous resources of the Information Age should be made available to all communities, including those communities that otherwise would have insufficient means to participate in this technological revolution.

The development of ATV may offer the most significant opportunity since the birth of television to make the mass media more responsive to local needs and non-commercial voices. The broadcasting industry, however, sees this as an opportunity to receive \$70 billion worth of federally-owned electromagnetic spectrum for free. The industry wants to use this valuable additional capacity for a number of lucrative subscriber services, including data transmission, communications services and subscription video services. We believe that the spectrum should be used to enhance both the quantity and quality of local educational, political, cultural, public affairs, and non-commercial programming.

This tremendous augmentation of broadcast capacity could easily provide a platform for existing PEG and low-power television stations to broadcast local programming; it could also assure a free place for political candidates, quality childrens' programming, "distance learning" programs offered through high schools and universities, and local theater and musical performances. The Commission could impose a license fee approaching fair market value for broadcasters' use of the spectrum, and require that some channel space be put aside for Auctions, quasi-auctions, non-commercial purposes. "condominium" and "quasi-common carriage" proposals will permit incumbent broadcasters to have unfettered access to a digital broadcast platform while allowing the federal government to collect much-needed revenue. A portion of the license fees could be used to fund local non-commercial programming on these public interest channels.

We are also concerned that the industry wants to develop ATV under anti-competitive, cartel-like conditions, by initially prohibiting any non-broadcaster from receiving an ATV license. We do not see why this is necessary. Diversity of programming and diversity of ownership have both been long-standing public policy goals of the Commission. Neither is served by imposing

this entry barrier on ATV. This limitation will reduce the number of voices on the air, and like other market-entry barriers, will create substantial inefficiencies for potential subscribers and advertisers.

We at Ridgecrest Community Television, Inc. urge the Commission to recognize the interests of the educational, charitable, and civic sector as it devises telecommunications policy for the 21st century, and to implement advanced television in a manner that sustains and nurtures what is best in America — its churches, its schools, its local institutions, and its charitable organizations.

Respectfully Submitted,

oseph R. Watters, c

**President** 

Ridgecrest Community Television, Inc. 1221 Flora Way

Ridgecrest, CA 93555

## **EXHIBIT A**

## ORGANIZATIONS USING THE SERVICES OF RIDGECREST COMMUNITY TELEVISION, INC.

- 1. Desert Artists League
- 2. Community Light Opera and Theater Association
- 3. China Lake Players
- 4. Randsburg Players, Inc.
- 5. Trona Unified School District
- 6. Sierra Sands Unified School District
- 7. Cerro Coso Community College
- 8. Desert Community Orchestra
- 9. Sierra Crafts Guild
- 10. Society of Lovers of Opera (SOLO)
- 11. Maturango Museum